

REMARKS

Claims 2-10 in amended form remain in the application for consideration.

Informalities

In the Office Action, claim 10 was objected to because of a typographical error where the term "we" was offered instead of the appropriate term "wet".

Claim 20 has been amended to correct this error.

Claim Rejections 35 USC §112

Claims 3 and 4 have been amended to overcome the objections of paragraphs 4 and 5 of the Office Action.

Claim Rejections 35 USC §102

Claims 2 – 5, 9, and 10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102 as being anticipated by Roe (3,645,070). Claims 6 – 8 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Roe in view of various U.S. patents.

In response to the rejections under 35 USC §102 and §103, independent claim 10 has been amended to include all of the limitations of allowable dependent claim 11. Claim 10 is now believed to be in condition for allowance. The remaining pending claims, except for claim 9, depend from claim 10 and are also believed to be in condition for allowance.

Claim 9 has been amended so as to be consistent with allowable claim 10 and is therefore also believed to be in condition for allowance.

Applicant: Ronald D. Stead
Serial-No.: 10/727,382

Accordingly, it is believed that the pending claims are in condition for allowance and such action is requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Charles W. Chandler
P.O. Reg. No. 24,290
Attorney for Applicant
33150 Schoolcraft
Livonia, MI 48150

Dated:

1/31/06